

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW

VOLUME TWO

TRADEMARKS AND PATENTS

Fall 1990

Martin F. Katz

These materials are solely for the classroom use of students at the Faculty of Law, University of Toronto.

LAW LIBRARY

NOV 6 1990

FACULTY OF LAW
UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

FACULTY OF LAW LIBRARY
UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW


VOLUME TWO

TRADEMARKS AND PATENTS

Fall 1990

Martin F. Katz

These materials are solely for the classroom use of students at the
Faculty of Law, University of Toronto.



Digitized by the Internet Archive
in 2018 with funding from
University of Toronto

https://archive.org/details/intellectualprop02katz_0

4. TRADEMARKS

<u>Readings</u>	<u>Page</u>
A. <u>HISTORY AND POLICY INTERESTS</u>	
Schechter, "The Rational Basis of Trademark Protection" (1927).....	235
Standard Brands v. Smidler.....	239
"What's In A Name?" (1989).....	244
B. <u>CONSTITUTIONAL FRAMEWORK</u>	
Constitution Act s. 91 (2), 91 (27), 92 (13).....	252
Canadian Converters v. Eastport Trading.....	253
Asbjorn Horgard A/S (1987).....	254
C. <u>INSTITUTIONAL FRAMEWORK</u>	
I <u>STATUTORY PROVISIONS</u>	
Trademark Act, ss. 26-40, 55, 56.....	264
II <u>PROCEDURE</u>	
i) <u>The Trademark Search</u>	268
[Materials to be Distributed]	
ii) <u>Trademark Registration</u>	268
[Materials to be Distributed]	
D. <u>COMMON LAW TORTS</u>	
I <u>PASSING OFF</u>	
i) <u>Criteria</u>	
Erven Warnick Besloten Vennootschap v. J. Townshend & Sons.....	269
ii) <u>Establishing Goodwill and Reputation</u>	
Blades Enterprises v. Thibault.....	274

Oxford Pendaflex v. Korr.....	277
Orkin Exterminating Co. v. Pestco of Canada.	284
iii) <u>A Common Field of Activity?</u>	
Falconbridge.....	300
Note: Krouse v. Chrysler	
a) "Household Words" Lego System A/S v. Lego M. Lemelstrich.....	303
b) Lesser Known Marks Annabel's (Berkley Square Ltd.) v. Schock.....	311
iv) <u>Misrepresentation</u>	
Visa International v. Visa Motel.....	313

II MISAPPROPRIATION OF VALUABLE INTANGIBLES

Moorgate Tobacco v. Philip Morris.....	317
Institut national des appellations d'origine des vins et eaux-de-vie v. Andres Wines.....	321
"Use of Like/Love Slogans".....	336

III STATUTORY CODIFICATION

Trademark Act, s. 7.....	343
Benjamin Distribution Ltd. v. Les Distributions Eclair Ltee.....	344

E STATUTORY TRADEMARKS

I CRITERIA FOR REGISTRABILITY

i) <u>Section 12 Requirements</u>	
Trademark Act, s. 12.....	347

a)	Primarily Name or Surname.....	348
	Comment.....	348
	Gerhard Horn Investments Ltd. v. Registrar of Trademarks.....	349
b)	Clearly Descriptive/Deceptively Misdescriptive.....	358
	S.C. Johnson Ltd. v. Marketing International.....	358
	Molson Companies Ltd. v. John Labatt....	362
	Procter and Gamble Inc. v. Nabisco Brands Ltd.....	369
	Coca-Cola Ltd. v. General Foods.....	371
	Atlantic Promotions v. Registrar of Trademarks.....	372
c)	Name in any Language of Wares & Services	
	Comment.....	378
d)	Confusing with a Registered Mark	
	Trademark Act, s. 6.....	378
	Comment.....	379
	Conde Nast Publications Inc. v. Gozlan Bros.....	381
	Benson & Hedges v. St. Regis Tobacco.....	385
e)	Marks Prohibited Under the Act	
	Trademark Act, ss. 9, 10, 12(1)(e)	
	Queen in Right of British Columbia et al. v. Mihaljevic.....	390
ii)	<u>Mere Functionality</u>	
	Trademark Act, s. 13.....	405
	Pizza Pizza Ltd. v. Registrar of Trademarks.	406

II REGISTRATION

i) Bases for Application

Trademark Act, s. 16..... 411

ii) Benefits of Registration

Comment..... 412

Mr. P's Mastertune Ignition Services Ltd.
v. Tunemasters..... 413

iii) Extent and Duration of Rights

Trademark Act, s. 19, 21, 32..... 414

III ESTABLISHING AND MAINTAINING DISTINCTIVENESS

i) Application

Trademark Act, s. 2..... 415

Quiz: What are the following marks
distinctive of?..... 415

F. INFRINGEMENT

Trademark Act, s. 19, 20, 22, 53(3)..... 417

Hayhurst, "The Unauthorized Use of Another's Mark
in Canada" (1984)..... 418

Clairol International v. Thomas Supply & Equipment.... 438

G. REMEDIES

Trademark Act, s. 52, 53..... 448

See Cairns, The Remedies for Trademark Infringement
(1988) [Excerpts on Reserve at Library]

Turbo Resources Limited v. Petro Canada Inc..... 449

H. OFFENCES

Criminal Code Provisions.....	459
-------------------------------	-----

5. PATENTS

Page

A. HISTORY, POLICY INTERESTS, AND PROCEDURE

Ross, "Beyond the Better Mousetrap: An Introduction to Patents" (1989).....	461
"Modernization of Canada's Patent Act" (Consumer and Corporate Affairs Canada, November 1989).....	483
"News Release: Canada Ratifies International Patent Cooperation Treaty" (Consumer and Corporate Affairs, October 2, 1989).....	491

B. CRITERIA FOR PATENTABILITY

I SUBJECT MATTER

i) Unpatentable Matter

In the Matter of Cooper's Application.....	492
--	-----

In the Matter of Fishburn's Application.....	494
--	-----

ii) Section 27(3) Prohibitions

[See also materials on the Patenting of Life Forms].....	496
--	-----

II THE QUALITY OF INVENTION

i) Section 2 Definition

Comment.....	497
--------------	-----

ii) Inventive Ingenuity or "Unobviousness"

Lowe-Martin Co. v. Office Specialty.....	498
--	-----

Application of Menendez.....	502
Hercules v. Commissioner of Patents.....	502
Hughes, "Degree of Inventiveness Required to Support a Patent Application (1987)....	503
Roberts, "Note: Inventive Ingenuity".....	506
Cabot Corp. v. 318602 Ontario.....	508

III NOVELTY

Comment	520
Statutory Provisions: Patent Act, s. 27, 27.1, 28	520
Comment:.....	524
i) <u>Anticipation: Prior Application</u>	524
ii) <u>Anticipation: Prior Disclosure</u>	526
a) Described in Previous Publication	
Beloit Canada Ltd. v. Valmet.....	526
Cluett, Peabody and Co. v. Dominion Textile.....	529
Saunders v. Airglide Deflectors Ltd....	530
b) Previous Public Use or Sale	
Leitheiser v. Pengo Hydra-Pull.....	531
c) Exception for Experiment or Amusement	
Canadian Patent Scaffolding v. Delzotto Enterprises.....	532
iii) <u>Anticipation: Prior Knowledge</u>	
Comment.....	539
Proctor & Gamble Co. v. Bristol Myers.....	540

Morrissey, "A Flow Chart of the Novelty Provisions of the Canadian Patent Act" (1990).....	541
--	-----

IV UTILITY

Hammond, "Inutility Attacks on Canadian Patents" (1985).....	545
--	-----

C. INFRINGEMENT

I ACTS CONSTITUTING INFRINGEMENT

i) <u>Use or Sale within Canada</u>	553
ii) <u>Importing or Exporting</u>	553
iii) <u>Transporting</u>	553
iv) <u>Resale</u>	553
v) <u>Inducing Infringement</u>	553
Slater Steel Industries v. R. Payor Co.....	554
vi) <u>Reconstruction vs. Repair</u>	568
vii) <u>Equivalency</u>	570

II ACTS NOT CONSTITUTING INFRINGEMENT..... 570

III DEFENCES

AT&T Technologies v. Mitel Corporation.....	571
---	-----

D. PATENTS & PHARMACEUTICAL LICENSING

I COMPULSORY LICENSING

"Deficit in Information Technology \$8 Billion (<u>Toronto Star</u> , 1990).....	588
Laxer, "Open for Business: The Roots of Foreign Ownership in Canada" (1989).....	589

Hoffman-La Roche Ltd. v. Frank W. Horner Ltd.....	591
American Home Products Corp. v. ICN Canada Ltd. (FCA; leave to appeal refused).....	591
American Home Products Corp. v. ICN Canada Ltd. (FCA).....	597
"Intellectual Property" <u>Law Times</u> , March 1990....	605
"Drug Lobby Hasn't Finished with Canada Yet" <u>Toronto Star</u> , September 1990.....	606